

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124464
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution of Sheep Fattening Practices and Marketing Systems in the Sagnarigu Municipality of the Northern Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important & interesting manuscript and structurally good. Sheep Fattening Practices and Marketing Systems is a practice conducting in area or country	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract lack some points: 1. It is very wide (number of words are about 481) 2. Figurative (numerical) presentation of result/s (finding) 3. Year in which it was done 4. Conclusion Keyword listing	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	More or less good. It is very huge other than article, it seems part of book. Minimize it brief and short.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher should take care while doing such articles because it needs crucial thinking and application of rule of paper writing. Sheep fattening is very best and good if done in good manner. So, after finding results, general recommendation should forwarded to not only farmers but also stakeholder. Table format of result is NOT good so the author should correct the format.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References were list but the style is NOT normal (APA, listing method should be used). Some references are oldish	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More or less good, but in some part, it needs modification and correction	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Semayat Oyda Odoshe
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia