

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_124536
Title of the Manuscript:	Proficient Adsorption investigations of Methylene blue Dye Utilizing incorporated Mg-Doped CuO Nanoparticles: An Inventive Methodology for Powerful Wastewater Treatment
Type of the Article	Journal Type

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. he abstract should be specific and concise. The keywords should also be specific and may need modification.</li> <li>2. Check the grammar and ensure that more recent literature related to the background and materials is included.</li> <li>3. Kinetic and thermodynamic studies should be included, as the conclusions regarding material efficiency will be based solely on these studies.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable- Paper content only for prilimary level. My Suggestion :Proficient Adsorption investigations of Methylene blue Dye Utilizing incorporated Mg-Doped CuO Nanoparticlesfor Powerful Wastewater Treatment	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Too Lench.to be modified accoundingly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Considerable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Need to be include Characterization studies and check the grammar for the entire document.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to be improved.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need to be check once again.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After incorporating all the content, it will be recommended for publication	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A. Revathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kongu Engineering College, India</b>