Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_124558**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Use of Waste Synthetic Hair Fibre in Cement Mortar**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**		
Optional/General comments	The author work is appreciable. Here some of the significant points are listed that will enhance the authors impact among the researchers. 1. In materials and methods, the cement properties of both physical and chemical properties shall be added. 2. The gradation of the sand and physical properties can be added to it. 3. The strength of all compressive strength, split tensile strength, density and water absorption test results was mentioned. In that how much percentage increased or decreased with hair fibre as compared with the conventional mortar should be mentioned. 4. Tensile strength test only gives the positive result on what basis it was happened? So add the mechanism of the mortar cylinder with the addition of fiber. 5. In conclusion the recommendations are not clear. Add the proper points in accordance with the compressive strength and density of the cement mortar. 6. In reference section, recent publication shall be added.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dhanalakshmi Ayyanar**
Department, University & Country	**P.S.R Engineering College, India**