

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofEngineeringResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JERR_124333
TitleoftheManuscript:	OptimizingTouchlessFingerprintIdentification:AMachineLearningApproachtoModellingandPerformanceEvaluation
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearchArticle

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript presents a significant contribution to the scientific community, particularly in the fields of machine learning, biometric security, and mobile technologies. The study explores the use of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for touchless fingerprint identification, a novel approach that addresses some limitations of traditional fingerprint methods. It provides a practical framework for implementing smartphone-based fingerprint recognition systems, combining cutting-edge deep learning techniques and real-world applications. I appreciate the manuscript for its forward-thinking approach, offering solutions to enhance biometric security in a rapidly mobile-centric world. Additionally, the inclusion of performance metrics and a detailed analysis of CNN</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its thorough approach in leveraging advanced machine learning techniques for fingerprint identification. It incorporates well-established methods, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), and provides a clear methodology for both the data collection and preprocessing stages. The manuscript also demonstrates an in-depth evaluation of performance metrics, comparing CNN models with k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN) to validate its claims. Furthermore, the use of large, diverse datasets (IIT and SOCO Fing) and the deployment of models on Android</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient and recent.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p><u>Minor/REVISION</u>comments</p> <p>Isthe language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The language quality of the article appears to be generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are a few areas where clarity could be enhanced to align more fully with scholarly standards:</p> <p>The technical terms used throughout the paper are appropriate, but the transitions between concepts could be smoother. For instance, the discussion of CNNs and the related processes could benefit from clearer explanation, especially for readers unfamiliar with the specific nuances of the methods.</p> <p>Some sentences are overly long or complex, which can hinder comprehension. For example: "The evaluation of the CNN architecture focused on mobile device fingerprint recognition emphasizing key processes such as data pre-processing, model training, and the optimization of the CNN through a Siamese-CNN approach to boost accuracy and efficiency." This sentence could be split for clarity and readability.</p> <p>There are occasional issues with punctuation and sentence structure. For example, in the abstract: "Performance metrics including identification accuracy, processing speed, and resource utilization were analyzed to determine the system's feasibility." This sentence would benefit from additional commas to separate the listed metrics.</p> <p>The article occasionally jumps between ideas, particularly in the literature review and results sections. More explicit connections between these sections and clearer transitions would improve the flow.</p> <p>Minor grammatical adjustments would help to enhance the professional terms.</p> <p>The language is largely appropriate for scholarly communication, refining the</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u>comments</p>	<p>The manuscript focuses on the development and performance evaluation of a smartphone-based, touchless fingerprint identification system using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN).</p> <p>Here are some general comments:</p> <p>The paper explores how machine learning, particularly CNNs, can enhance smartphone-based fingerprint recognition. The concept of using touchless methods, as opposed to traditional touch-based fingerprint identification, is a key innovation. The study utilizes the IIT Bombay and SOCOFing fingerprint databases for training and testing CNN models. Different CNN architectures like VGG16, VGG19, ResNet50, and Inception-V3 were evaluated to determine the most efficient model for fingerprint recognition. The models were tested on accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. VGG19 with multi-augmentation performed the best, achieving a 98% accuracy. The overall evaluation shows the practicality of CNN-based approaches for touchless fingerprint recognition, with significant improvements over traditional algorithms like k-NN. The research effectively handles the challenges of image quality in touchless recognition through image preprocessing techniques such as adaptive mean thresholding and core-point detection. The importance of preprocessing for noise reduction and feature extraction is emphasized. The use of Siamese CNN and multi-augmentation techniques is highlighted as a way to improve recognition accuracy. Additionally, the development of an Android app for fingerprint identification shows the research's practical application. The paper mentions that while CNNs show promise, further work is needed to address the limitations regarding fingerprint image quality and the adaptability of the model to different smartphone cameras.</p>	

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santhosh Shivaprakash
Department, University & Country	Kalpataru Institute of Technology, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India