

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_123705
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of the Development Status of Flow Field Design for Bipolar Plates in Hydrogen Fuel Cells Research background
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Review</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I suggest the author shows at least 5 point highlights of the study 2. Enhance the abstract to reflect the content of the article from introduction to finding 3. The objective of the study should be clearly stated 4. The novelty of the study should be clearly presented by the author 5. Being a review paper, the author should enhance the review with more recent references on the subject 6. The article is too short for a review paper 7. The author should incorporate illustrations in form of tables and figures to make the article interesting and analytical 8. The entire section 2 has no referencing 9. The author can introduce a section on discussion to summarize and critique the findings and literature and make some recommendations based on the review and future studies 10. The conclusion is not comprehensive. 11. Have a section on declarations 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Moses Jeremiah Barasa Kabey</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Durban University of Technology, South Africa</p>