

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_123705
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of the Development Status of Flow Field Design for Bipolar Plates in Hydrogen Fuel Cells Research background
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is good but results,graphs ,flow charts are missing please mention	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	new flow fields such as biomimetic flow fields and 3D flow fields. Domestic and foreign researchers have optimized the flow field structure through numerical simulation and experimental verification to improve power density and reaction gas uniformity, while reducing water flooding phenomena and costs did not mention any result	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good but result and literature review is missing	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript technically less because of no equations graphs	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Flowcharts ,graphs, methods diagram , Process also not mention	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good and scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Paper is good but some add more results and block diaram	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Billa Pardhasaradhi
Department, University & Country	Brilliant Grammar School Educational Society's Group of Institutions, India