

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124778
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of National Food Security Mission with Special Reference to Recommended Interventions for Chickpea in Ajmer District of Rajasthan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community, particularly in the field of agricultural research and policy evaluation. It provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of the National Food Security Mission (NFSM) interventions on chickpea cultivation, focusing on knowledge dissemination among farmers in the Ajmer District. By comparing beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries, the study highlights the role of structured government programs in improving farming practices and productivity. The research emphasizes the need for expanded outreach to ensure that non-beneficiary farmers also benefit, which could inform future agricultural policies and extension services. The detailed methodology and thorough analysis strengthen its value for evidence-based decision-making.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Chickpea Interventions under the National Food Security Mission: A Case Study of Ajmer District, Rajasthan.</b> <b>Or</b> <b>Impact of NFSM Interventions on Chickpea Cultivation in Ajmer District, Rajasthan"</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract you provided is quite comprehensive, covering key points such as the study's focus, comparison between beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers, significant findings, and the statistical validation of the results.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-defined research design, focusing on a clear comparison between beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers under the National Food Security Mission (NFSM). The use of statistical methods, such as the 'Z' test, ensures that the differences in knowledge levels are not only observed but also statistically validated, enhancing the credibility of the findings. The large sample size (200 beneficiary and 200 non-beneficiary farmers) contributes to the reliability of the conclusions, reducing bias and increasing the generalizability of the results. Moreover, the focus on specific key practices in chickpea cultivation, backed by quantifiable Mean Percent Scores (MPS), ensures that the analysis is both detailed and objective. Overall, the manuscript is methodologically sound, contributing valuable insights into the effectiveness of NFSM interventions.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	yes	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mehvish Ayoub</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, India</b>