

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123942
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pest and Disease Video Classification with Convolutional Neural Network and Transfer Learning</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is important in the following ways:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It has applied video technology to address the problem of pest and diseases.</li> <li>2. Pests and diseases of crop plants can be detected with greater precision and accuracy</li> <li>3. The application of data in solving real life problems</li> <li>4. The study does not require much longer time to produce result</li> </ol> <p>I like the manuscript because with the use of drone technology in agriculture, foliar pests and diseases of forest trees, mountainous vegetations and other difficult to reach terrains can be studied with ease. With this, mitigation efforts can therefore be applied on time thereby enhancing food security for the global population</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is appropriate according to the scope of the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The manuscript is scientifically correct in the sense that it is written in a concise and clear manner.</li> <li>2.Technical terms have been well utilised.</li> <li>3.Intext citations are all reflected at the reference section.</li> <li>4.The methodology used is well presented such that the study can be replicated elsewhere.</li> <li>5.The study can stimulate more research in the field of agricultural pest and diseases</li> </ol>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and recent (&lt; 10 years).</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The findings of the study are generally interesting.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Iyongo, Terungwa Titus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Nigeria</b>