

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_124551
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservative Decompression in the Management of Odontogenic Keratocysts: case report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Many similar manuscripts have been already published in many journals. But this article has got good follow up data with positive treatment outcome where the permanent tooth has made its way towards eruption. This point can be highlighted to the community. The manuscript is structured and presented well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It should clearly specify whether it is a case report and review of literature or only a case report as the abstract includes review of literature as well. Correct the title to either sentence case or all upper case.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive but repetitive use of few concepts can be cut down like conservative management etc. Stick to the word limit of case report abstract to 250 words. The abstract should be structured according to the guidelines given in the journal submission guidelines as- Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion. The number of keywords should be increased and be more relatable to the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	These sections are missing in the manuscript- Acknowledgements, Competing Interests and Authors' Contributions. Restrict the images to 5 as per the journal instructions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically the data written in the manuscript is correct and relatable to the topic. The evidence given in favour of decompression has been collected from various articles and makes a good collection of data. The point discussed is made clear without any confusion throughout the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not in the style mentioned in journal instructions. Please number them and cite them accordingly in brackets in the main text. References are sufficient and are recent ones.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The introductory paragraph of case presentation can be better elaborated to under the case history. Quoting other case reports that have followed a similar treatment protocol and highlighting their outcome will be beneficial to the readers. Avoid repetitive sentences and concept. Can make the discussion on decompression technique crisp and precise.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hajira Khatoon
Department, University & Country	Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, India