

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_124804
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Quality of Raw Cow's Milk Produced and Marketed in the Town of Bongor, Chad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The materials presented in this article are original, the author makes an attempt to assess the safety for consumers of raw milk obtained in a traditional way for the region. The presented results characterize the system of milk production in the region, indicate shortcomings and possible ways to improve quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The name fits	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract reflects the results of the article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the article corresponds to the purpose of the work	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it contains comprehensive data on the methodology of bacteriological research of selected milk samples. References are given to relevant standards for determining indicators of microbiological contamination of milk and requirements for its quality. The procedure for preparing samples for microbiological studies is also given	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors mainly use the literature of the last 5-7 years for analysis. Literary sources are adequate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable for communication	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> There are no ethical problems	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valerii Ushkalov
Department, University & Country	National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine