

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124883
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING THE BIO-EFFICACY OF PESTICIDE COMBINATIONS ON NATURAL ENEMIES IN RICE CULTIVATION
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	studying pesticide safety in relation to natural enemies supports both environmental conservation and agricultural productivity by promoting more sustainable pest control methods	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In abstract any significant data in support of the results is not provided All the chemicals used in the experiments were not mentioned 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find manuscript as not scientifically correct due following reasons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The control treatment mentioned is different in tables 1 and 2, its T6 in table 1 whereas mentioned as T12 in Table 2 Abbreviations used were not provided with their full name "a, b, c" mentioned in the table were not explained in the table 2 The pesticides used were not given with their source, category of chemicals (organophosphate, carbamates and synthetic pyrethroids), if commercialised chemicals were used or not The line mentioned in results: "The observations at pre-treatment spray ranged from 1.66 – 2.83" does not match that is mentioned in table 2 Likewise, the observations given for I Spray and II spray for both 5 days and 10 days do not match with that are provided in the table 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not latest in support for discussion	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/English quality is suitable	
Optional/General comments	Already mentioned in detail	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumari
Department, University & Country	University of Rajasthan, India