

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_124308</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Epidemiological study of downy mildew disease of opium poppy</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is relevant for Weather advisories to farmers. However, I find that it is not neatly concluded to highlight the relevance to readers	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be revised as "Meteorological manifestations for inoculum build up and severity of downy mildew on Opium poppy'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comments have been incorporated in the Manuscripts	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Partially appropriate: Introduction may have more studies for reference and few lines on relevance of study	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The technical and scientific inputs have been incorporated in the text. The language has been corrected and some sentences need to be re framed (comments incorporated)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few references on disease symptoms progress may be added. Some images of disease symptoms may also be added	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article may be considered suitable for scholarly communication as it is genuine study on a particular crop response to meteorological conditions. Language quality has been corrected and relevant comments incorporated.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript can be pursued further for process. No major reason to rejection was observed	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vimla Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharana Pratap Horticultural University, India</b>