

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_124299
Title of the Manuscript:	PARATHORMONE AND BONE DISORDERS IN BLACK ADULT HEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR IN ABIDJAN
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is one of the most important subjects in patients with end stage kidney disease that is frequently neglected by the physicians despite its prognostic and therapeutic importance. It is of great importance to improve our awareness concerning the correlation of serum PTH with various aspects of the general health of patients on CKD whether on hemodialysis or not and the importance of routine testing of PTH in those patients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think it would more precise to make the title "correlation of parathormone and bone disorders in black adult hemodialysis patients in the public sector in Abidjan"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The conclusion needs to be revised as it is only a repetition of the results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The diagnosis of patients with bone complication is somewhat unclear. It is not accepted to consider any patient with bone pain to have bone complications if he had a history of end stage kidney disease. For the results to be reliable, the diagnostic tests used for detection of bone complications need to be mentioned in detail even if these tests were performed previously. What makes things worse is that the major patients who were considered to have bone complications had only bone pain. This might gravely affect the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raid D. Hashim
Department, University & Country	Al-Farahidi University, Iraq