

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_124591
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PRODUCTION AND QUALITY EVALUATION OF YOGURT SUPPLEMENTED WITH WATERMELON JUICE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yogurt is part of the daily menu and the search of new variety and supplements is constant. Addition of watermelon juice is an interesting approach despite that its addition actually cause the dilution of yogurt. The use of watermelon juice should be justified and the expected benefits of that should be thoroughly explained.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would recommend the change in the title as  <b>COMPOSITION AND QUALITY EVALUATION OF YOGURT AND WATERMELON JUICE BLENDS</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The first several sentences in the abstract should explain the purpose of mixing yogurt with watermelon juice. Another thing is that the juice was not "produced" and obtain and it is not actually a supplement for yogurt. Both components should be regarded as independent because if the marginal difference of their origin.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The main drawback of the manuscript is the lack of information about the use of different fruit juices and how they affect the chemical composition of yogurt. I let myself the freedom of deleting paragraphs which deal with the general information about physiological effects of different components in the watermelon juice or yogurt. In order to improve the manuscript all this paragraphs should be replaced with comparison with other similar research concerning blending the yogurt with fruit juices. Please, consider presenting the composition of 100% yogurt and 100% juice as independent entities and make all the others comparisons against them.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The reference will be different when in the discussion are included sources which deal with yogurt and juices mixtures.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Katya Petkova Dimitrova</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural University, Bulgaria</b>