

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_124548
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of the Phytochemicals and in vitro Antioxidant Prowess of Methanolic Extracts of Fadogia cienkowskii Leaves</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE RESEARCH DONE BY THE AUTHORS CLEARLY SHOWS THAT THE METHANOLIC LEAVES EXTRACT OF <i>FADOGIA CIENKOWSKII</i> HAS ENORMOUS PHYTOCHEMICALS AND BETTER ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY, CONFIRMED BY REDUCING OXIDATIVE STRESS. THIS WILL BE HELPFUL FOR THE READERS OF THIS ESTEEMED JOURNAL AND FOR THE RESEARCHERS LOOKING FOR IN VITRO ANTIOXIDANT STUDIES. THE PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS WAS ALSO PRETTY GOOD. HOWEVER, SOME MINOR MODIFICATIONS WANT TO BE DONE BY THE AUTHORS, WHICH INCLUDE TYPICAL ERRORS, CORRELATION BETWEEN ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIMALARIAL, REFERENCING FORMAT AND SPELLING MISTAKES.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	THE AUTHORS ARE RECOMMENDED TO CORRECT THE SPELLING MISTAKES IN THE TITLE  Phytochemical Profiling and Evaluation of <i>In Vitro</i> Antioxidant Potential of Methanolic Extract of <i>Fadogia cienkowskii</i> Leaves	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	THE CONTENT OF THE ABSTRACT WAS OKAY, BUT TO BE FREE FROM GRAMMATICAL ERRORS. THE AUTHORS ARE ASKED TO REWRITE THE ABSTRACT	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. THE ABSTRACT SHOULD BE REWRITTEN WITHOUT GRAMMATICAL ERRORS.</li> <li>2. GIVE SOME INFORMATION ON THE EXTRACTION AND EXTRACTION TECHNIQUE EMPLOYED IN THIS STUDY. AS THE STUDY WAS FOCUSED ON THE PHYTOCHEMICAL PROFILING, IT IS NECESSARY.</li> <li>3. ALSO, GIVE MORE DISCUSSION ON THE SAME AND PROVIDE THE REASON FOR CHOOSING METHANOL AS SOLVENT.</li> <li>4. CLEARLY STATE WHETHER THE LEAVES ARE DEFATTED AND USED FOR THE STUDY OR DIRECTLY PERFORMED METHANOLIC EXTRACTION.</li> <li>5. ALL THE FINDINGS REGARDING ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY WERE PRETTY GOOD, EVEN MORE DISCUSSION WAS REQUIRED.</li> <li>6. IT IS NECESSARY FOR THE AUTHORS TO PROVIDE THE INHIBITION RATE AND ERROR GRAPHS FOR BETTER UNDERSTANDING.</li> <li>7. IN CONCLUSION, THE AUTHORS STATED THAT THE EXTRACT HAD ANTIMALARIAL PROPERTIES DUE TO FREE RADICAL SCAVENGING. EVEN THE AUTHORS DIDN'T PERFORM ANY ANTIMALARIAL STUDIES, HOW DID THEY STATE THIS? HOWEVER, THE REDUCTION OF OXIDATIVE STRESS AND FREE RADICAL SCAVENGING REDUCES MALARIAL INFECTIONS, THE AUTHORS ARE RECOMMENDED TO PERFORM ANTIMALARIAL STUDIES AGAINST MALARIAL STRAINS. IF NOT, THE FIRST TWO LINES OF THE CONCLUSION IS NOT CONSIDERED. ALSO, THE AUTHORS ARE ASKED TO REWRITE THE CONCLUSION, ACCORDING TO THEIR OBJECTIVES AND FINDINGS.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	THE FORMAT AND CITATION OF REFERENCES SHOULD BE CONSISTENT.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES HOWEVER, THE GRAMMATICAL ERRORS AND SPELLING MISTAKES SHOULD BE AVOIDED	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	AFTER MINOR REVISION, CONSIDER THIS FOR PUBLICATION	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Praveen Sekar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swamy Vivekanandha College of Pharmacy, India</b>