

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_123508
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Awareness, and Attitude of PharmD Students on Antimicrobial Stewardship- A Report
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research on antibiotic resistance and the use of antibiotics is crucial. I find this topic interesting as it explores the awareness of health professionals regarding antibiotic use. However, I am unclear about the specific scientific criteria the researcher used to assess the participants' knowledge.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article title is suitable, matches and covers the content of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was well written, and there are no suggestions to add or delete any paragraph	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript and subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is in dire need of clarifying the questionnaire paragraphs, as I did not know the nature of the questions directed to the participants. Also, the manuscript relied on one statistical test (two-sample independent t-test), which is not sufficient. It is preferable to add other statistical tests (most importantly the chi-square test) to know the difference between the levels of indicated by the author. The manuscript also lacks clear information on how to calculate the proportion or the average of correct and incorrect answers. Therefore, the scientific information seems incomplete. There are some comments included in the manuscript file that must be reviewed and answered accurately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Given the type and size of the results, the sources are considered sufficient. Most references are recent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is suitable for scholarly communication	
Optional/General comments	I see that the topic that the author is dealing with is one of the most important topics, but the researcher relied heavily on interpreting the results in a somewhat incomplete scientific way, as the figures and tables do not show from a scientific and statistical perspective what they appear to be. Therefore, the author needs to add a clearer statistical measure.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saad M. Hantoosh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Al-Muthanna University, Iraq</b>