

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_124002
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR GROWTH AND YIELD PERFORMANCE UNDER RAINFED CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Evaluation of latest wheat varieties for rainfed area is necessary and a continuous process for maximum production and food security.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	EVALUATION OF WHEAT GENOTYPES FOR YIELD PERFORMANCE AND RELATED TRAITS UNDER RAINFED CONDITIONS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No rationale was established and there is no research problem in the abstract. Objectives are also missing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is more like a thesis than a research article. It should be revised like a research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Corrections have been suggested on the manuscript. Insert error bars on the graphs Discussion section needs much attention as it is written poorly like introduction.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add latest references especially in the introduction section	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article needs special attention	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Added on the manuscript	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Munir Ahmad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>