

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_124450
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Climate-Smart Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Germplasm for Optimum Moisture Areas of Ethiopia.
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Wheat is one of the nutritionally as well as economically important cereal crop in the world. It plays a key role to build up the economy of the developing countries. It is very prerequisite task in any breeding programme to select the desirable parents as per need the breeding objectives. Now a days there is needed to develop climate resilience wheat genotypes for wider adaptability. For this pint of view this manuscript is very effective.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes/ write a one or two line conclusion at end of the abstract session so that it will be more effective and make it acceptable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Likely to be appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>An introduction of a research article should have the followings in sequential order to make the research paper more attractive and acceptable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The basic idea of the topic of the research paper 2. Need of the present work with a line of hypothesis behind the work and 3. Objective of the research paper (included) <p>Introduction not oriented as above is not accepted. The introduction section is too long, you need to delete some content and make it short. You have not written as it should be. Revise it, not acceptable in the present form.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Accepted/Needs modification</p> <p>In Table 2, you need to add a column after pedigree that including the name of University/Institute that released the genotype/variety along with the year, and also mention about the source of 2 released wheat varieties and 18 genotypes that from where you acquired these for research purpose, also you need to acknowledge that institution for providing the genotypes as well as research fund for your investigation. It is very important thing to make your paper acceptable. Be précised and focused.</p> <p>Results and Discussion : Accepted/Needs Modification</p> <p>Suggestion</p> <p>The research paper may be accepted if revised as per suggestions and the discussion portion is improved. The discussion is explanation of your results in the light of similar works done by citing them to make your statement valid. This portion provides the firm ground and authenticity of your findings on the basis of previously published articles. Revise this portion to make your paper relevant. Some more recent references should be incorporated to strengthen the advocated facts.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Suggestion (s)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This section is just like that all the pictures in one frame only. Hence you are suggested to 	

Review Form 3

	<p>conclude the findings of your research article in very short way</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Write that what is the outcome of your research work, ▪ Write about the source behind your research work/project, mention and acknowledge that Agency/Institute. ▪ The acknowledgments of people who provided assistance in manuscript preparation, funding for research, etc. should be listed in this section. ▪ All sources of funding should be declared as an acknowledgment. Authors should declare the role of the funding agency, if any 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Correct the references</p> <p>Reference number 6 is missing in reference section. You need to delete either add it</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Imran
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India