

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_124648
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological investigations on Potato Tuber Moths treated with a botanical extract of basil (<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L), with particular attention to the alterations in the Ultrastructure of the larval digestive tract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of interest to the scientific community because it proposes a less polluting solution. In fact, it forms part of the integrated pest management framework. The aim is to minimise the use of pesticides as much as possible and to strengthen alternative, healthier and more sustainable methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	- You should quote the scientific name of the potato moth and the variety of potato you used in your study in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- It's better to use figures and rates to compare with the control. In order to highlight the importance of using Bacilic-based Biopesticide in the summary. - The scientific name of the variety of potato and plant used in your study should be quoted in the keywords in the summary.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find this work interesting because it reinforces the importance of research in the field of integrated pest management. In order to minimise the use of pesticides. Because they cause residues and serious problems for humans, animals, the environment and all ecosystems.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	- Reference number 15 is missing from the text (it exists in the list of references but not in the text) - The number of pages for reference number 13 is missing from the list of references. - The list of references should be arranged in alphabetical order.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English in the article is appropriate for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All scientific names should be italicised - The country and region of study and the period of study are missing from the problem statement in the introduction. - The country, region and period of study are missing from the materials and methods section. - It would be better to insert a map to present the study region. - It would be better to include figures and rates for comparison with the control. To highlight the importance of using Basil-based Biopesticide in the results section. - The abbreviations should be listed in alphabetical order - The reference should appear in front of each figure title. - It is best to add original figures for the potato variety, pest and crop used in your study. <p>I don't suspect any plagiarism in this work.</p> <p>There are no conflicts of interest in this manuscript.</p> <p>This manuscript does not pose any ethical problems.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Bendoumia Houda</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University Yahia Fares of Medea, Algeria</p>