

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_124534
Title of the Manuscript:	Rh-Chamber: A Novel Chamber Design for Gas Sampling in the Coastal Sediment and Water Surface
Type of the Article	Methods Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance is innovation in gas sampling in coastal environments. The design is flexible and has the ability to operate over sediment as well as water surfaces. It is accuracy and efficiency.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is Ok, the abstract reflect a clear view of the whole research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Is Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has significant application potential not only to sediments or water levels of coastal ecosystems. The manuscript shows the application of Rh-Chamber in aquaculture waters can contribute significantly to the evaluation of aquaculture practices that support climate change mitigation efforts. The manuscript shows the amount of flux and global warming potential of greenhouse gases contributed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is Ok, the language sentences and statements flow well.	
Optional/General comments	The authors are consistent in addressing what is to be solving and they have achieved it.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Wana Likta
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria