

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_124162
Title of the Manuscript:	EVERYDAY SCENARIOS THROUGH THE LENS OF CLIL AND LANGUAGE MASTERY.
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of language education and pedagogy. By examining the impact of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) on language proficiency in real-world contexts, it provides valuable insights into how language learning can be made more practical, engaging, and culturally relevant. The mixed-methods approach strengthens its findings, offering both qualitative and quantitative perspectives on CLIL's effectiveness. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more in-depth discussion on addressing the challenges educators face in implementing CLIL, as this is crucial for its wider adoption.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternate title: CLIL's Impact on Language Proficiency in Real-World Contexts	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could be streamlined for clarity. You could shorten the methodology by briefly mentioning the data collection methods without specifying participant numbers. Additionally, highlight key findings more specifically, such as how CLIL improves language use in social and professional contexts. Lastly, briefly mention the challenges like teacher training and resource limitations to balance the focus on both the benefits and difficulties of CLIL. A more concise abstract would improve readability while retaining essential details.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>The subsections and structure are generally appropriate, but a few improvements can be made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Introduction:</b> It's effective but could be more concise. Focus on the research problem and reduce theoretical background details.</li> <li>2. <b>Significance of the Study:</b> This section overlaps with the introduction. Consider merging or condensing to avoid redundancy.</li> <li>3. <b>Methodology:</b> Clearer subheadings like "Data Collection" and "Sample" would make this section easier to navigate.</li> <li>4. <b>Findings:</b> Align the findings more closely with the research objectives. Use subheadings that directly refer to each objective for clarity.</li> <li>5. <b>Conclusion:</b> Summarize key insights but add more actionable recommendations for future research.</li> </ol>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust due to its mixed-methods approach, combining classroom observations, interviews, and focus groups, which provides a comprehensive analysis of CLIL's impact. The use of multiple data sources strengthens the validity of the findings, and the study aligns well with established theories of language learning. Overall, the methodological rigor and practical relevance enhance the manuscript's scientific credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient but include some older sources. Adding more recent studies on CLIL implementation and outcomes, as well as recent reviews or theoretical perspectives, would enhance the manuscript's relevance and comprehensiveness.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but minor revisions for clarity and conciseness would improve readability.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript presents valuable insights into the effectiveness of CLIL in enhancing language proficiency and real-world applications. It effectively combines theoretical frameworks with empirical data, making it relevant for educators and researchers. However, addressing some challenges related to implementation and providing more recent references would strengthen the overall argument. Additionally, ensuring greater clarity in certain sections could enhance comprehension for a broader audience. Overall, this study contributes meaningfully to the field of language education.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Bharathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jayaprakash Narayan College of Engineering, India</b>