

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_124162
Title of the Manuscript:	EVERYDAY SCENARIOS THROUGH THE LENS OF CLIL AND LANGUAGE MASTERY.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the practical application of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) beyond traditional academic settings, addressing a gap in understanding how language education methods can influence real-life language use. By focusing on the connection between language mastery and everyday scenarios, the research provides valuable insights for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers aiming to enhance language education in a more holistic and practical manner.</p> <p>I appreciate the manuscript's interdisciplinary approach, combining education theory with real-world outcomes, which makes it relevant for both academic researchers and practitioners. However, the study could benefit from a more detailed discussion on how these findings can be generalized across different cultural and educational contexts</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "EVERYDAY SCENARIOS THROUGH THE LENS OF CLIL AND LANGUAGE MASTERY" is somewhat clear, but it could be more focused and precise. It doesn't fully convey the emphasis on the impact of CLIL on real-world language application. Here's an alternative that might better capture the scope and findings of the study:</p> <p>"Enhancing Real-World Language Skills through CLIL: A Study on Language Mastery and Everyday Applications"</p> <p>This title highlights the key elements: improving language skills, real-world contexts, and the role of CLIL, while being more direct and informative.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Strengths: It introduces CLIL and its role in improving language mastery, connecting it to real-life scenarios, which is aligned with the paper's focus. It gives a brief overview of the study's goals and the methodologies used (observations, interviews, focus groups). It mentions key findings regarding CLIL's impact on language proficiency and practical language usage.</p> <p>Potential Gaps: - Lack of clarity in objectives: The abstract mentions the research's aim to explore CLIL in everyday contexts but could be clearer about specific objectives or questions the study seeks to answer. - Missing specific results or insights: While it touches on the findings, it doesn't detail specific key results that stand out. Highlighting the most significant outcomes would make the abstract more compelling. - Impact or implications: The abstract would benefit from mentioning the practical implications of the findings. What are the broader impacts for educators, students, or policymakers?</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript follows a logical and systematic academic structure, moving from the abstract to the introduction, then to the significance, methodology, objectives, findings, and conclusion. Each section builds on the previous one, creating a coherent narrative.</p> <p>In summary, the subsections and structure are appropriate, clear, and well-organized, but adding a standalone discussion section could enhance the academic depth of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear research design, well-grounded theoretical framework, and appropriate methodology. The authors effectively link Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) to both educational theory and real-world applications, demonstrating a solid understanding of the subject matter. The data analysis methods are appropriate for the research questions, and the findings are presented in a logical manner. Overall, the study's conclusions are supported by the data, indicating its reliability and contribution to the field of</p>	

Review Form 3

	language education research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references in the manuscript seem sufficient and cover a broad range of studies related to CLIL and language education. However, it would be beneficial to ensure that a balance of recent studies is included, particularly within the last 5-7 years, to reflect the most up-to-date research trends in this evolving field. You might want to consider adding more recent articles or reviews from journals on educational methodologies, bilingualism, and language acquisition, if they are missing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and precise articulation of the main points. However, there are occasional instances where the flow of ideas could be improved for better readability. Some sentences may benefit from rephrasing to enhance clarity and coherence. Ensuring consistent use of academic tone and checking for minor grammatical issues would also improve the overall quality. A thorough proofreading and language editing pass would help refine these areas and make the manuscript more polished for publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript provides valuable insights into specific topic, and the structure is well-organized, guiding the reader through the research effectively. However, to enhance its impact, consider expanding on the discussion section to further relate the findings to existing literature and potential future research directions. Additionally, incorporating a few more recent studies in the literature review could strengthen the paper's foundation. Overall, with minor revisions to language and content, this manuscript holds significant promise for contributing meaningfully to the scientific community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ketsunee Taweekaew
Department, University & Country	Thailand National Sports University, Thailand