

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_124771 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Interdependent Effects of Agro-input Node Interventions on the Adoption of Dairy Cattle Production Technologies among Smallholder Farmers in Kericho, Kenya |
| Type of the Article | Research |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>This study is quite essential because agriculture is the mainstay of poverty eradication and banishing extreme poverty and hunger especially in the developing countries. The importance of agricultural value chain cannot be overemphasized in the entire agricultural processes. The functionality of agricultural value chain is rooted on agricultural extension service delivery system; therefore, the performance of agricultural value chain will have overall impact on the entire agricultural output.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>Yes, the title is suitable</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The abstract is comprehensive. However, the authors should work on the structure of the sentences. Some of the sentences are short and should be merged with previous sentences.</p> <p>Kindly correct the semantics of the following: Its effectiveness is yet to be fully investigated and documented. This study recommends developing strategies ...</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>Yes, they are appropriate. However, the following subsections should be included in the conclusion section: Recommendation subsection should be included Suggestion for further studies subsection should be included</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The citation in the body of the work is inappropriate and inconsistent. For instance, (Roko and Opusunju, 2016)[1] in the introduction section should be either (Roko and Opusunju, 2016) or [1]. You cannot combine the two. This correction is applicable to all the citations in the study, please correct. In the introductory section, the study lacks gaps from previous studies, and how this study is more plausible. The literature review section is missing. The methodology is not robust. This is the heart of the paper which will enhance reproducibility. Kindly follow the standard for writing methodology (Research method or strategy, research design, sampling technique, sample size, type of data, data collection, data analysis, and validity of instrument. The declaration of hypothesis is not correct for the usage of Chi-Square. "There is no statistically significant interdependence" should be There is no statistical interdependence or There is no interdependence or There is no association between ... In the data analysis subsection, the semantics of "The data pieces in form of interview schedules" should be corrected. The sentences in the interdependence should be corrected. The conclusion is short. It should be improved. Conclusion should be summary of introduction, methods, and results.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>The references are sufficient and recent. However, more recent citations can be added to support the old citations and references.</p> | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language/English quality of the article should be improved for scholarly communications | |
| Optional/General comments | I commend the author for the effort in carrying out this study. There are few corrections which will enhance the beauty of this study. | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Oluwafemi Royal Aliu |
| Department, University & Country | University of Reading, United Kingdom |