

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124345
Title of the Manuscript:	Farm Management and the Production Efficiency of rice farmers in the Western Highlands of Cameroon: Evidenced from a Tobit Analysis Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cereal crops are of great interest to both the international scientific community and farmers because cereals provide a staple food for both humans and livestock. Rice is one of the world's most widely grown cereals and, together with wheat and maize, occupies the largest agricultural areas, as it is the main food for a large part of the human population. Managing farms to increase rice yields and improve its quality is a particularly important and welcome activity that also requires political involvement. I welcome and appreciate this initiative to study management at farm level in order to improving both production and quality in the case of rice cultivation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is a comprehensive and well done, as required by the journal.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a original research article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that this article although based on survey research for data collection (sample frame of 260 farmers) with the use of a questionnaire, and not on actual experiments is a valuable one that may raise some alarm bells regarding on-farm management. I think the paper is scientifically and technically sound because the study technique used is an internationally recognized one and is correctly applied.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient (44), more of them are after 2000 (34) and 27 of them are after 2010.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	I have made some corrections in the full article with the red colour. After these corrections, the article can be published, in my point of view.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihai- Iacob Bentea
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca, Romania