

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_124772
Title of the Manuscript:	A brief overview on recent one pot multi-component synthesis and biological properties of a class of new class of chromenes, coumarines, chromeno-pyrimidines, pyrido-pyrimidine and quinazoline heterocycles
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review articles gives a brief idea about the compounds which can be synthetically synthesized from new chromenes, coumarins, chromeno-pyrimidines, pyrido-pyrimidines, and quinazoline heterocycles. The review could include biological properties of the synthesized compounds as they have mentioned in the title.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	There are grammatical errors in the title, hence the title can be written as "A brief overview of recent one-pot multi-component synthesis and biological properties of a class of new chromenes, coumarins, chromeno-pyrimidines, pyrido-pyrimidines, and quinazoline heterocycles."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract explains the article briefly, but the sentences used in the abstract is complex. The complexity of the sentences can be reduced and simple sentences can be included which explains the article much more effectively. The time period of the articles reviewed can be included in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In Scheme 12, the "RS" in the reaction should be changed accordingly.2. The space between AND SA should be removed in the scheme 27.3. Scheme 33 should be numbered as scheme 32.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the review section of the manuscript, the characterisation methods used for the products and applications of the products synthesized can be included. If possible explain, the biological properties of the products synthesized which is available in the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The review of the articles which has been published most recently can be included.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The sentences used in the manuscript are a bit complex. The sentences can be changed to simple format, which makes it much more understandable. There are some spelling mistakes in the manuscript, which should be corrected. Usage of punctuation marks should be carried out in appropriate places of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Kindly include the number of the precursors and products in the scheme and in the review, such that the reaction can be understood and identified. Biological properties of the synthesized products can be briefly explained in the review.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Y. Subba Reddy
Department, University & Country	Andhra Loyola College, India