

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123984
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the genetic variation for yield and its attributing traits in Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Genetic variability is crucial in chickpea breeding as it provides the raw material for selection, enabling breeders to identify superior genotypes for yield, disease resistance, and stress tolerance. A wide genetic base increases the chances of developing resilient cultivars that can adapt to diverse environments and changing climatic conditions. It also ensures long-term genetic improvement by preventing genetic erosion and maintaining diversity within breeding populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Estimation of genetic variability for yield and yield component traits in chickpea	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, Try to add recent research findings	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	* Number of genotypes used for the study was less. Reliable results would be obtained if sample size have more than 20 genotypes * Statistical analysis adopted was upto the mark * The write up was found satisfactory and it can be published as a full length research paper	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B K Athoni
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India