

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_124800
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of viruses infecting tomatoes in Cross River State and its effect on selected growth parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific community may be benefitted by their results and its comparison. I like this manuscript because he found more and more diseases of tomato and its preventions also.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Article is comprehensive. You must include a algorithm to find the data for discussion,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need other subsections like result analysis with graphical representation.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I would like to say that scientifically shows all the values but you must explain technically that how do you find it? You must show algorithm and process via. DFD for it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are Sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No problem with English Language and its suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitendra Singh Kushwah
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology and Management, Gwalior, India