

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122862
Title of the Manuscript:	HARNESSING THE POWER OF RESEARCH AND INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL TRANSFORMATION IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript may be useful to the scientific community as it may give insights to identify new research areas by using age-old practices (ITK) followed traditionally in farming. Those location specific practices could be verified through research and can be used in broader perspective. ITK could be blended with new technology for exploring and framing sustainable practices. Therefore, the manuscript has been found suitable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	May write like HARNESSING THE EXPERIENCE OF RESEARCH AND INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL TRANSFORMATION IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The length of the abstract is sufficient. But keywords should be only individual words instead of pair words or like phrases. May write viz Organic, Preservation, transformation etc.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Found appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Even though it is difficult to verify the authenticity of the manuscript without carrying out research, the reviews cited support the scientific truth of the same. It seems to have a list of practices that could be useful for sustainable agricultural transformation if it properly validated through research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	It is adequately mentioned.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable language has been used	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Paper could be useful to researchers, students and entrepreneurs, if published.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chittaranjan Deka
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India