

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124788
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanotechnological approaches for the management of stored insect-pests: present scenario and future prospects - A Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important as it tried to grasp the works related to control postharvest insect pests but the title should be clear and attractive	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable, because the author has reviewed the work of scholars on control of insect pests of food related cereals but not indicated in the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It looks like comprehensive but gaps and future directions not indicated	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Somewhat ok but poorly organized for example using single source, in some place no source, lack of recent evidence	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If the author see comments and make clarity on issues the manuscript becomes scientifically robust as it summarizes the use of nanoparticles against insect pests to solve insecticide resistance	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient, I have mentioned on the main document	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is ok	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A dugna Gindaba
Department, University & Country	Dambi Dollo University, Ethiopia