

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122067</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence Of Iba And Naa On Rooting Of Terminal Cuttings Of Chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript is poorly designed, the research is not having significance for researchers, beside this the draft is poorly designed, research gap is not discussed, how it is significance not discussed, hypothesis not mention, statistical description written poorly, content writing and table formation also poor.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>No, and it is well known that chrysanthemum can easily propagated by cuttings</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>No, it is poor</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>No methodology is poorly designed</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>I think the work is not significant, and having lack scientific inputs as well. Therefore, instead of correction I will consider reject it. If it is review, we can give suggestion but in research it the plan of work is not appropriate it can be rejected only.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Not sufficient references and parameters as well and lack of discussion</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No, it is not suitable for scholar communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	According to standard of reputed journal I will reject it.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit Kotiyal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional University, India</b>