

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_123688
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND SAFETY OF A POLYHERBAL TEA BASED ON LIPPIA MULTIFLORA, ZINGIBER OFFICINALE AND MENTHA PIPERITA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The use of reprinted speech must be adhered to, all through the manuscript</p> <p>Scientific names must always be presented in italics</p> <p>Repetition of phrases in several parts of the manuscript was observed. This must be avoided</p> <p>Methodology sentences must not be repeated in results or discussion</p> <p>Results in figures should also not be repeated in discussion, only the implications of the findings are to be discussed.</p> <p>Results of the most significant Tea (4), including the phytochemical constituents were reported for the most part of the manuscript. All results for other tea formulations should be presented in figures and tables so that the differences can be clearly buttressed.</p> <p>Table III though reported in the results was however not provided.</p> <p>In references</p> <p>Book and journal titles must be in italics with volume and page numbers. This was conspicuously not adhered to in several referenced items</p> <p>IN CONCLUSION</p> <p>The article is publishable if the observations and corrections are treated</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Garba Hani Danladi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria</p>