

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_123688
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND SAFETY OF A POLYHERBAL TEA BASED ON LIPPIA MULTIFLORA, ZINGIBER OFFICINALE AND MENTHA PIPERITA
Type of Article :	Original research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The authors need to understand that the suggested topic is to enable the topic to have a good grammatical construction. PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF A POLYHERBAL TEA FORMULATION OF LIPPIA MULTIFLORA, ZINGER OFFICINALE AND MENTHA PIPERITA" sounds more grammatical correct than PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF A POLYHERBAL TEA FORMULATION BASED ON LIPPIA MULTIFLORA, ZINGER OFFICINALE AND MENTHA PIPERITA.</p> <p>For tea formulations, powder of each plant was prepared and weighed in specific proportions to formulate five different polyherbal tea – This change was not effected in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>It is relevant for the authors to specify the secondary metabolites present in polyherbal tea 4 in the conclusion section of the abstract, as suggested earlier. There are many secondary metabolites. Therefore, the authors need to be specific.</p> <p>The authors did not declare a statement of competing interest. Declaration of competing interest is compulsory.</p> <p>Reference 6 is still incomplete - No volume and issue numbers, no page numbers\ Reference 8 is still incomplete - No volume and issue numbers, no page numbers Reference 12 is still incomplete - No volume and issue numbers, no page numbers Reference 13 is still incomplete - No volume and issue numbers, no page numbers Reference 30 is still incomplete - No volume and issue numbers, no page numbers</p> <p>In stating the page numbers, the letter should come before the actual page number. This should be effected in references where the authors stated the page number before the letter p.</p> <p>There are still ethical issues. This study involved the use of laboratory animals and human subjects, hence needs ethical approval. The omission of ethical approval or clearance is against research ethics. The authors did not address this. Information about the date and assigned number of ethical approval should be clearly stated in the Materials and Method Section as well as a section under Ethical Approval in the manuscript. Ethical issues cannot be ignored. I propose a rejection of the manuscript due to this concern. However, if evidence of ethical approval can be provided and the suggested corrections effected, this manuscript should be re-considered for acceptance.</p>	

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard Sedeavor
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana