

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_124704
Title of the Manuscript:	THE NON PHARMACOLOGICAL 3: AN IN-DEPTH REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM PREVENTION IN THE PERIPARTUM PERIOD IN LOW AND MIDDLE INCOME COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Excellent review article describe the non-pharmacologic prevention of venous thrombosis in peripartum period in middle and low income countries. This article will improve the prevention of venous thrombosis so it is important to community. Clear and suitable title, comprehensive abstract and informative introduction. Well written and designed article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Clear and suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Well designed and written	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically good covers the non-pharmacologic prevention of venous thrombosis through stockings, hydration and early mobilisation with their recommendations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and correctly cited	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khalid Abdelsamea Mohamedahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gezira, Sudan</b>