

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_124580
Title of the Manuscript:	AN IMPROVED DEBIT CARD FRAUD DETECTION SYSTEM BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING MODELS
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I dislike this paper because the technology used is very old , since AI inception. The Authors has to justify the importance of Hybrid ML models for the Problem defined	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, please rephrase the title as “ Enhancing the detection of Debit card Fraud Detection using Logistic Regression and Random Forest techniques”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Authors have to briefly discuss about how ML models will improve the detection of frauds in descriptive way.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have considered only 1 dataset but even the source and other details of the dataset is not mentioned and cited. They have to make comparison between two different datasets on same ML models for better accuracy and performance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References: All the papers are very old and not suitable for improvements in the detection of frauds and also only journal papers from Scopus/SCIE indexed journals must be referred.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No still improvements required	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Figure 1 is not visible and aligned properly.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Naitik ST</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dayananda Sagar University, India</b>