

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_124291
Title of the Manuscript:	Creation of variability in Daincha ( <i>Sesbania aculeata</i> ) through interspecific hybridization
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	It is an important manuscript that addresses interspecific crosses and genetic variability increase. The manuscript could be longer showing more results and discussion.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title state the "Creation of variability" in Ddaincha ( <i>Sesbania aculeata</i> ), but why the manuscript mainly speaks about crossability of <i>Sesbania</i> species?	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript poses an important research question, but the writing of the manuscript regarding the research objectives and are not sufficiently organized.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	While the manuscript is short (like a short communication) the number references are few.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English is good. There are some comments in the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Julio Alfonso Chia Wong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional Agraria de la Selva, Perú</b>