

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_123626</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A bibliometric review of the impacts of logging in forests in semi-arid zones</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This study on the bibliometric review of the impacts of logging in forests in semi-arid zones give updates and trends on both ecological and socio-economic influences of logging. Therefore, it opens opportunity to the research community to conduct follow up studies that may intensify the understanding on the impact of logging in the semi-arid zones. Moreover, this study maybe a catalyst to generate or conceptualize a tool to measure the impact of logging particularly on semi-arid zones.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is appropriate to the study</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract showcased the essential information that may capture the interest of readers.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However, in the results and discussion particularly in 3.4 (Emerging Thematic Groups Related to the Impacts of Logging) there should be a discussion and matrix on the negative, and positive impact of logging to highlight the ecological/environmental, and socio-economic influences of logging in semi-arid zones.</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This study is scientifically robust and technically sound because it is based on factual and scientific information from credible scholars. Likewise, it employed scientific analysis on the data being gathered.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>References are sufficient for the study</b>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript was written in English simple words that may allow immediate understanding of the reader	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carmelito C. Valdez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture and Sustainable Development, Ifugao State University, Philippines</b>