

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_124605
Title of the Manuscript:	Etiological Agents of Superficial Surgical Site Infections Among Post-Operative Patients Attending a Teaching Hospital in Southeastern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important as it identifies the microbial causes of superficial surgical site infections in a specific region, offering crucial data for improving infection control and antibiotic usage. It highlights regional variations in pathogens, aiding in tailored strategies for better post-surgical outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific robustness of this manuscript would depend on several factors, including the use of appropriate microbiological techniques to identify etiological agents, a well-defined study population, and adequate sample size to ensure statistical relevance. If the authors utilized standardized diagnostic methods, maintained strict infection control measures during sampling, and conducted a thorough analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, the manuscript would be considered scientifically sound. Additionally, a clear presentation of results, supported by relevant literature, would further validate its technical accuracy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amaila Qaisar
Department, University & Country	Riphah International University, Pakistan