

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124339
Title of the Manuscript:	ALLELOPATHIC POTENTIAL OF MEDICINAL PLANTS – A SOURCE FOR SUSTAINABLE WEED MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Agriculture science agreed the allelopathic potentiality of common weed plant that affects the physiology of neighboring plants positively or negatively. It clearly indicated the presence of different allelochemicals in it. Therefore, it is need to encourage farmer's participation toward the appropriate management of weeds. This review article has been focused on allelopathic effects with special reference to weeds and allelochemicals present their in.</p> <p>The author/s has selected very important aspect for research in field of allelopathy. Their findings will be significantly acted on agricultural practices. The work is more common but applicable however the MS is well structured with clarity and correct language.</p> <p>The research review work is suitable for manuscript. The data is supported by appropriate bibliography. No remarkable typographic and grammatical mistakes found in the MS. Findings are significant.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes No Suggestion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Overall MS is well written but recheck the references and eliminate typographical errors from it.</p> <p>The abstract and conclusion is up to mark.</p> <p>No experimental work has done for this MS, as researcher/s has/ve put it in future work plan therefore this MS provides the review information about the topic chosen.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>Very recent references in the field of allelopathy have been available up to 2024 but concern with this MS, given references are justifying objectives.</p> <p>No need of revision.</p> <p>Accept it with few minor corrections.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Usage of English language is good, no grammatical errors as such.</p> <p>The article is suitable for scholarly communications, Publish it.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Accept the MS with minor corrections.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuvraj D. Kengar
Department, University & Country	Kusumtai Rajarambapu Patil Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Shivaji University, India