

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124133
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE SYNERGISTIC EFFECTS OF DEFICIT IRRIGATION AND GROWTH RETARDANTS ON INSITU SPROUTING AND QUALITY PARAMETERS OF GROUNDNUT VRI 8
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The loss caused by groundnut seeds sprouting during the rainy season is one issue that needs to be properly addressed in bunch varieties, and this study offers a solution that will also have a minimal negative influence on the environment the author claims. Thus, I believe the research was an effectively carried out concept.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, that is remarkably good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Although the abstract is thorough, the author would want to include a few sentences outlining how the findings will help address the symptoms of seed sprouting.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author can declare the abbreviation for treatments in the subsection material and methods rather than at the later stages along with its extensions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author may include citations for research suggesting that such investigations have a less detrimental effect on the environment.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is clear and readable	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the work is well-written and can be recommended for acceptance with a few minor revisions.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushma
Department, University & Country	Sant Gahira Guru Vishwavidyalaya, India