

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_123816
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Advances and Future Trends in Clean-Label Technology
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I regret to say that this article is not written in the form of a scientific article and is more like a simple report. Its content does not contain scientific, statistical and argumentative analysis. It seems that the contents are collected scattered and put together without any order.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>NO, There is no logical connection between the title and the content presented. The content of the text is so scattered that it is not really possible to suggest a suitable title for it!</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>In the introduction should address aspects such as the number of references used, the time period during which they were collected, and even the types of databases mentioned. The author's purposes did not mentioned. Additionally, the type of review study has not been specified. What does GMO mean in the summary?!</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No, There is no orderly structure to the content of this article</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The keywords have not been chosen appropriately; the following words may be more suitable:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Food Technology 2. Food Labeling 3. Labeling Regulations <p>Especially, the choice of "Antimicrobial" and "dairy" is very unwise. The first sentences of the summary and introduction are almost identical, which is not a common practice in article writing. What does E-numbers refer to?</p> <p>At the end of the introduction, it is advisable to mention the type of study. The titles of sections are not chosen scientifically, for example, "Definitions of clean label." There are grammatical errors in the structure of the article.</p> <p>In the section "Definitions of clean label," standards in the U.S. and Canada are mentioned, which are irrelevant. It is customary to immediately provide a brief explanation of the title right after starting it, and then to present the individual items separately, which has not been observed in "Clean label attributes."</p> <p>I do not understand why a website address has been referred to in the text. "U.S. Food and Drug Administration" should be abbreviated as USFDA, not FDA.</p> <p>In the section "Difference between natural, organic, and clean label food," the discussion on organic food is lacking. In the "Clean label methodology" section, the last sentence has no reference.</p> <p>"Clean label ingredients" is mentioned without a reference; similarly, "Antimicrobials and preservatives" lacks an explanation relevant to the title, and sentences are without references.</p> <p>For the title "Potassium and sodium chloride," a number has been chosen, while no numbers exist for</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>previous titles. This title has been poorly chosen; what is the meaning of this title?</p> <p>The antimicrobial properties of potassium chloride and sodium chloride have been noted; these compounds inhibit microbial growth and are not classified as antimicrobials.</p> <p>There is strange confusion in the article; while discussing bacteria, the topic shifts to green tea, and the next paragraph ends with eggs and milk! Then, chitosan is introduced!</p> <p>In the section "Microbial sources of clean label antimicrobials," it is necessary to mention contamination sources such as polluted water, lack of personal hygiene, etc., rather than unrelated content.</p> <p>In the section "Milk as a source of clean-label ingredients," trivial details about milk and its products are provided.</p> <p>Overall, the article only refers to one table that does not contain useful information. The reference in the section "Proteins as a clean-label ingredient" is old, dating back to 2012.</p> <p>Section 1. "Hydrocolloids" has the number one, which has been used previously. The first paragraph of this section is without reference. The sections "Unstructured, random proteins" and "Globular monomeric proteins" lack references.</p> <p>The section "Complex globular proteins" has one old reference; the rest of the text is without references.</p> <p>In the section "Butter," it is only mentioned that "Artificial butter flavors are replaced by concentrated dairy products, buttermilk, and yeast-based extracts."</p> <p>There are numerous abbreviations in the text without explanations, such as UF milk, MPC, and MPI.</p> <p>"Bacteriophage therapy" has no relevance to the previous content about milk and yogurt.</p> <p>In the section "Factors influencing buying behavior of consumers towards clean label," content is listed without any introduction and lacks references.</p> <p>In the conclusion, meat is mentioned, but dairy is mentioned in the keywords!</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>As mentioned s above (step by step) many sentences lack references. Such as in the section "Yoghurt," there are old references from 2004, 2009, 2011, and 2012.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>More and less that is good.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This article is like a simple report.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramin Khoshniyat
Department, University & Country	Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Iran