

## Response of different bougainvillea varieties to cutting propagation

### Abstract

The experiment was carried out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. There were two factors viz. nine varieties of bougainvillea (Golden Blow, Pink Beauty, Blondie, Mr. Odicee, Singapore Red, Filoman, Rose Vellis Delight, M.P.S. and Dr. Bhabha) and three type of cutting (softwood, semi hardwood and hardwood cutting). Among the various interactions, hardwood cuttings of varieties Singapore red and Blondie performed better with respect to survival (75.55% and 73.33% respectively) as compared to others. Variety Singapore Red propagated by hardwood cutting recorded highest plant height (56.21 cm), stem girth (6.98 mm) and root length (13.72 cm) while variety Pink Beauty propagated by hardwood cutting recorded highest number of shoots (4.53) and number of leaves (57.33). Highest number of roots (35.89) was recorded in variety Blondie propagated by hardwood cutting. Variety M.P.S. recorded better survival percentage in all three types of cutting (softwood, semi hardwood and hardwood) which was followed by Blondie and Filoman.

**Keywords:** Bougainvillea, varieties, types of cutting, propagation.

### Introduction

Bougainvillea (*Bougainvillea spp.*) is a tropical and subtropical plant. It is originally of the family Nyctaginaceae, possesses high ornamental value in horticulture Zhang et al. [12]. The usage of bougainvillea has been increased due to modernization and urbanization since landscape horticulture is being popular round the globe and demand of vast production is raising in urban areas. It is tolerant to drought and pollution thus minimal care is required in comparison to other plants, and

contains multiple uses Singh et al. [10] It has a wide range of varieties, each with unique growth characteristics and propagation needs. Vegetative propagation by stem cuttings is a useful technique in conservation of endangered plants and rapid propagation of new cultivars Singh [14].

Different cultivars exhibited distinct patterns in growth rate, flowering time and flower colours. Some cultivars showed superior adaptability to the tropical climate, with better flowering performance and growth characteristics Vachhrajani and Deshmukh [11]. Propagating bougainvillea through cuttings can be a sustainable practice, as it allows grower to produce new plants without depleting wild populations or relying on seed collection. By utilizing different types of cuttings, one can take the advantage of various stages of plant's growth, ensuring that making the most out of the entire plant. Different varieties may exhibit distinct traits such as flower colour, growth habit, etc. Understanding these traits helps grower to select varieties that are best to meet their needs and preferences. Also, propagation of such varieties helps to maintain the genetic characteristics of the parent plant, ensuring that the new plants are true to type. Efficient propagation methods and successful rooting across multiple varieties can lead to higher total number of plants produced. This increases overall plant output and improve the profitability of nursery. Overall, research in this area helps to enhance productivity, cost effectiveness and resource optimization.

This study aims to examine which type of cutting is suitable for successful rooting and survival in different varieties of bougainvillea. Not only hardwood cuttings but also semi hardwood and softwood cuttings will significantly influence the growth rate, rooting success and overall plant health of bougainvillea varieties. This approach ensures a more efficient use of the plant material and reduces the need for a larger number of mother plants, which can be beneficial in terms of both resource management and economic efficiency.

## **Material and methods**

The present study was conducted during December 2023 to May 2024 at the Hi-tech unit of College of Horticulture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri in Maharashtra. Meteorological data was collected continuously throughout the experiment. The experiment was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Design.

There were two factors viz. nine varieties of bougainvillea Golden Blow ( $V_1$ ), Pink Beauty ( $V_2$ ), Blondie ( $V_3$ ), Mr. Odicee ( $V_4$ ), Singapore Red ( $V_5$ ), Filoman ( $V_6$ ), Rose Vellis Delight ( $V_7$ ), M.P.S. ( $V_8$ ) and Dr. Bhabha ( $V_9$ ) and three types of cutting, hardwood, semi hardwood and softwood cutting. Fifteen cutting per treatment were planted in polybags with three replications. Potting mixture were prepared with Soil: FYM in 3:1 ratio. Polythene bags of 4"×6" cm size was used. Cuttings were collected from mother plants as per the varieties available at hi-tech unit of College of

Horticulture, Dapoli. Cuttings were collected by keeping three to four nodes and giving the slanting cut at basal end leaving 2 cm portion just below the node. Slanting cut were given with secateur. Leaves were removed completely. After separating from mother plants, cuttings were kept in moist gunny bags or clothes to avoid the drying of shoot. Basal end surface of cuttings was treated with keradix to enhance rooting. Cuttings of nine varieties of bougainvillea were planted in polybags. Polybags were watered before planting to moist the soil. Irrigation was done as per the requirement and to maintain humidity at early stage. Hand weeding was carried out at 15 days interval or as per the need.

The observation viz., survival percentage, plant height, stem girth, number of shoots, number of leaves, length of root and number of roots were recorded at 180 days after planting. The data on individual characters underwent analysis of variance, a widely used method in Factorial Randomized Block Design, as described by Panse and Sukhatme [7].

## **Result and Discussion**

### **Survival percentage**

The maximum survival percentage (75.55) was observed in treatment combination  $V_5T_3$  (variety Singapore red propagated by hardwood cutting) whereas softwood cuttings of varieties  $V_1$  (Golden blow),  $V_2$  (Pink beauty),  $V_4$  (Mr. Odicee),  $V_5$  (Singapore red),  $V_7$  (Rose vellis delight) and  $V_9$  (Dr. Bhabha) were sprouted; but not survived. Hence, the considerably lower value has taken as a minimum survival percentage. So that, minimum survival percentage (35.55) was recorded in  $V_3T_1$  (variety Blondie propagated by softwood cutting),  $V_6T_1$  (variety Filoman propagated by softwood cutting),  $V_7T_2$  (variety Rose vellis delight propagated by semi hardwood cutting) and  $V_9T_2$  (variety Dr. Bhabha propagated by semi hardwood cutting). Certain varieties may have traits that make them more resilient. Some varieties may survive better from softwood cuttings while other might be more successful with semi hardwood and hardwood cuttings. Generally, semi hardwood and hardwood cuttings are preferred due to their balance of maturity and rooting capability, leading to higher survival rates. A similar result was reported by Mishra [5] in bougainvillea varieties and by Patil [13] in three species of jasmine propagated by two types of cuttings.

### **Shoot parameters**

The wide variation is often seen in different cultivars of the same species of bougainvillea for rooting, sprouting and growth traits Memon et al. [4]. Across the various interactions, no plant height, stem girth, number of shoots and number of leaves were recorded for softwood cuttings of varieties  $V_1$  (Golden blow),  $V_2$ (Pink beauty),  $V_4$  (Mr. Odicee),  $V_5$  (Singapore red),  $V_7$  (Rose vellis

delight) and V<sub>9</sub> (Dr. Bhabha) as they did not survive. Hence, considerably lower values have taken as a minimum observation. V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (variety Singapore red propagated by hardwood cutting) recorded the highest plant height (56.21 cm) and stem girth (6.98 mm) while lowest plant height (27.97 cm) and stem girth (3.68 mm) were recorded in V<sub>9</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (variety Dr. Bhabha propagated by semi hardwood cutting) at 180 days after planting. V<sub>2</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (variety Pink Beauty propagated by hardwood cutting) recorded highest number of shoots (4.53) and number of leaves (57.33) whereas V<sub>9</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (variety Dr. Bhabha propagated by semi hardwood cutting) recorded lowest number of shoots and V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (variety Mr. Odicee propagated by semi hardwood cutting) recorded lowest number of leaves at 180 days after planting. While hardwood cuttings are generally favoured due to their balance of rooting capability and maturity, the optimal cutting type may vary based on the specific variety of bougainvillea. Difference in vegetative growth characters of different cultivars may be due to varied growth rate and their genetic make ups as a result, variation in phenotypic expression is expected to occur [Sharma et al. \[9\]](#). As hardwood cuttings have accumulated significant energy reserves in the form of carbohydrates and other nutrients which provide required resources, multiple shoot growth is initiated and sustained. Similar variation in number of leaves were given by Panwar [8] in bougainvillea varieties, [Patil \[13\] in different species of Jasmine](#) and Chaudhary [1] in kiwifruit.

### Root parameters

Root development is a prime stage in vegetative propagation of wood or horticultural plants, and rooting problems will lead to significant economic losses Mohammed and Hamid [6]. The interaction effects between the varieties of bougainvillea and types of cutting were significant for root length and number of roots. As mentioned earlier regarding zero survival of softwood cuttings of varieties V<sub>1</sub> (Golden blow), V<sub>2</sub> (Pink beauty), V<sub>4</sub> (Mr. Odicee), V<sub>5</sub> (Singapore red), V<sub>7</sub> (Rose vellis delight) and V<sub>9</sub> (Dr. Bhabha), [the observations for these interactions were not recorded.](#) V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (variety Singapore Red propagated by hardwood cutting) recorded longest root (13.72 cm) and V<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub> (variety Blondie propagated by hardwood cutting) recorded maximum number of roots (35.89). The response of rooting can differ with variety, physiological stage of the parent plant, time of plantation of the cutting, environmental element of propagation chamber. Hartmann et al. [2]. Carbohydrates accumulation was found in the base of cuttings and this allows it to be the only part with the ability to grow roots, especially due to the presence of high C/N ratio required for easier and faster root formation Herastuti and Hardiastuti [3]. The results align closely with findings reported by Panwar [8] in bougainvillea and Chaudhary [1] in kiwi fruit.

**Table 1. Effect of types of cutting on survival percentage among different varieties of bougainvillea at 180 days after planting.**

Treatments	Survival percentage
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	53.33
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	57.77
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	62.22
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	71.11
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	35.55
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	57.78
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	73.33
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	40.00
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	53.33
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	66.66
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	<b>75.55</b>
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	35.55
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	46.66
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	57.77
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	35.55
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	55.55
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	46.66
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	57.77
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	71.11
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	35.55
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	37.78
S.Em. (±)	3.65
C.D. @ 5%	10.36
Result	<b>SIG</b>

Table 2. Effect of types of cutting on shoot parameters among different varieties of bougainvillea at 180 days after planting.

Treatments	Shoot parameters			
	Plant height (cm)	Stem girth (mm)	Number of shoots	Number of leaves
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	48.41	4.88	2.80	36.53
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	50.79	6.10	3.27	39.73

V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	31.63	4.36	4.47	55.93
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	33.45	5.51	<b>4.53</b>	<b>57.33</b>
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	46.13	3.18	2.60	29.40
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	53.93	5.55	2.87	36.33
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	49.89	6.75	3.27	40.60
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	28.81	3.90	2.73	18.47
V <sub>4</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	31.24	4.82	3.13	19.27
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	48.74	6.51	3.20	37.73
V <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	<b>56.21</b>	<b>6.98</b>	3.47	41.20
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	43.67	3.63	3.07	34.07
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	48.61	5.39	3.20	36.07
V <sub>6</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	53.76	6.11	3.47	39.07
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	31.58	3.91	2.73	21.13
V <sub>7</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	32.87	4.15	3.00	22.13
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	30.83	4.95	3.00	28.20
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	32.80	5.75	3.33	28.40
V <sub>8</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	34.73	6.88	3.80	32.93
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	27.97	3.68	2.40	20.80
V <sub>9</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	28.41	4.29	3.07	21.73
S.Em. (±)	0.63	0.06	0.09	0.62
C.D. @ 5%	1.79	0.17	0.26	1.75
Result	<b>SIG</b>	<b>SIG</b>	<b>SIG</b>	<b>SIG</b>

Table 3. Effect of types of cutting on root parameters among different varieties of bougainvillea at 180 days after planting.

Treatments	Root parameters	
	Length of root (cm)	Number of roots
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	10.41	23.89
V <sub>1</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	10.72	30.89
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	0.00	0.00
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	10.22	17.44
V <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	10.72	23.22
V <sub>3</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	11.69	17.67

<b>V<sub>3</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	11.87	29.67
<b>V<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	12.24	<b>35.89</b>
<b>V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.00	0.00
<b>V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	9.93	16.56
<b>V<sub>4</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	10.59	21.56
<b>V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.00	0.00
<b>V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	11.83	18.89
<b>V<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>13.72</b>	23.00
<b>V<sub>6</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	9.18	18.00
<b>V<sub>6</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	10.35	22.89
<b>V<sub>6</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	11.98	32.33
<b>V<sub>7</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.00	0.00
<b>V<sub>7</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	9.72	20.67
<b>V<sub>7</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	11.20	26.00
<b>V<sub>8</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	10.48	21.00
<b>V<sub>8</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	10.53	28.67
<b>V<sub>8</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	11.86	32.66
<b>V<sub>9</sub>T<sub>1</sub></b>	0.00	0.00
<b>V<sub>9</sub>T<sub>2</sub></b>	9.90	19.89
<b>V<sub>9</sub>T<sub>3</sub></b>	10.11	22.33
<b>S.Em. (±)</b>	0.55	2.26
<b>C.D. @ 5%</b>	1.57	6.41
<b>Result</b>	<b>SIG</b>	<b>SIG</b>

## Conclusion

From the present investigation, it could be concluded that type of cuttings had shown significant effect in different bougainvillea varieties. Hardwood cuttings of varieties Singapore red and Blondie performed better with respect to survival, shoot and root parameters. The results of the experiment demonstrated more efficient utilization of planting material by using softwood, semi hardwood and hardwood cutting for propagation which is advantageous for economic efficiency. However, the results of the present investigation are based on a single season experiment and requires further more validation by conducting multilocational trials for two years on similar aspects for recommendation to ornamental nursery growers.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## References

1. Chaudhary Sonali (2020). Evaluation of hardwood and semi hardwood cuttings for rooting performance in exotic cultivars of Kiwi fruit (*Actindia spp.*) M.Sc. (Horticulture) thesis submitted to Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Solan (Nauni) HP. (Published).
2. Hartmann, H. T., Kester, D. E., Davies Jr., F. T. and Geneve, R. L. (2011). Plant Propagation: Principles and Practices. 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Prentice- Hall, New Jersey, 915.
3. HetiHerastuti and SiwiHardiastuti EK (2020). The influence of stem cutting type and IBA concentration on vegetative growth of bougainvillea. *Journal Techno*, **2**(1): 12-18.
4. Memon, N. N., Noman, A., Baloch, M. A. and Chachar, Q. D. (2013). Influence of Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) on sprouting and rooting potential of stem cuttings of bougainvillea. *Science International*, **25**(2): 299-304.
5. Mishra, A. K. (1971). Rooting behavior in cuttings of bougainvillea with the aid of seradix B-3. *Indian J. Hort.*, **28**: 68-69.
6. Mohammed, A., Fadwa, A., Hamid, A., and Elbasheer, Y. (2014). Vegetative propagation of *Peltophorium petrocarpum* (DC) Backer ex k. Heyne: A multipurpose tree. *Netherland Journal of Agriculture Science*, **2**(4):113-116.
7. Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1995). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR Rev. Ed. 97-156.

8. Panwar, R. D. (1988). Studies on the propagation of bougainvillea. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis submitted to Haryana Agricultural University. (Published).
9. Sharma Rupali, Kumar, R. and Dahiya, D. S. (2018). Studies on performance of liliun varieties under polyhouse. *J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(4): 2711-2713.
10. Singh, B., Sindhu, S. S., Yadav, H. and Saxena, N. K. (2017). Influence of growth hormones on hardwood cutting of bougainvillea cv. Dr. H.B. Singh. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **6**(23): 1903-1907.
11. Vachhrajani, V. R. and Deshmukh, S. M. (2019). Morphological and physiological variability in Bougainvillea cultivars under tropical conditions. *Horticultural Sciences*, **46**(1): 65- 75.
12. Zhang, H., Huang, T., Zhou, Q, Sheng, Q. and Zhu, Z. (2023). Complete chloroplast genomes and phylogenetic relationships of *Bougainvillea spectabilis* and *Bougainvillea glabra* (Nyctaginaceae). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **24**(7): 13044.
13. Patil, Basavarajeshwari C. (1993). Effect of growth regulators and types of cuttings on rooting of different species of jasmine. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. (Published).
14. Singh, K. K. (2018). A review: Multiplication of *Bougainvillea species* through cutting. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **6**(2): 1961-1965.