

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124478
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of types of cuttings and IBA concentration on days taken to first sprout, days taken to highest sprouting, survival % and number of roots in <i>Crossandra</i> (<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a simple experiment comparing cutting age with rooting hormone for establishment of the plant and obviously known the young & hormone treated ones will come better. But high demand for its propagation will made the manuscript important	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Too long and has to be shortened and modified to may be 'Response of type cuttings and IBA concentrations in <i>Crossandra</i> (<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> L.) propagations'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it is very wide and more than journals recommended number of words (more than 300) and listing all the 12 treatments in the section is not necessary, need to be removed.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not according to journals reference style, check journals authors guidelines again	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Abbreviations are not according to journals author guidelines and some are not clear, like the one on the first line of abstract (Dr. B.S.K.K.V.), when appears for the first time in the manuscript it has to be elaborated within parenthesis	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gamachu Olani
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia