

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124478
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of types of cuttings and IBA concentration on days taken to first sprout, days taken to highest sprouting, survival % and number of roots in <i>Crossandra</i> (<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original research article.

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article will help the community to choose the best treatment for example, (cutting type, IBA concentration) to get the healthy planting stock of this economically important specie at nursery level with high survival ratio at nursery level. The study will open the doors of further research for the future researchers to explore more about hidden facts about nursery techniques of valuable plant species.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I think the title of the article is stable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good but further changes are needed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Start the abstract with importance of your selected specie (one or one line is enough). 2. Use full name of your research institution. 3. Add country name with dist. 4. Add small bracts with research duration. 5. Correct the typing mistake. 6. At the last line of abstract do not mention the climatic conditions as already mentioned at the start of the abstract section you can replace it with future some emendations originated from your study (one line) at the end of the abstract 7. Please do not use words that are included in the title of the research article. Use important words as keywords that are not included in title of the article. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate but further changes are necessary. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add some future prospective of your study 2,3 lines in conclusion section. 2. Add least one graph in your article. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is technically good but some minor changes are required in abstract section, clear cut future prospective should be included conclusion. More the repetition of words already used in title should be removed from keywords. Further discussion section need some minor improvement as it is an important section of research article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient some recent are recent along some old one. But I think overall its good.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes stable.	
Optional/General comments	Clearly mention the future prospective of your study in conclusion section. Clearly recommend your best performing treatment in conclusion section to get healthy and speedy plants at nursery level. Add some graphs in your research. If possible add few more text in introduction session of the paper with recent citations. The introduction looks quite short.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Raza
Department, University & Country	The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan