

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124455
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF GROUNDNUT DIGGER IN CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for current groundnut farming community. It will help to improve the efficiency of farmer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More References may added in Introduction part 2. Abstract may rewrite 3. Latest data of groundnut data may be added 4. Different forward speed, how it was maintained in experimental field? can elaborate. 5. Reference written in reference section is not matching 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Still many farmers are using manual harvesting Groundnut which is taking more labor and cost. Mechanization will increasing efficiency as well reduce the cost. 2. Fully mechanizing the process can substantially save time and labor, enhancing productivity and reducing the physical burden on workers 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient may added and should match	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it good	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Piyush Pradhan
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture and Research Station, India