

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124449
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of softwood grafting in hibiscus (Hibiscus spp.)
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I consider that this subject is important for all the scientific community. The subject reveal the importance of the genotypes that can be used in agro-climatic regions and which confers a greater degree of attachment and development of the plant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is relevant for the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary of the article is relevant and concise, arousing the audience's curiosity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I consider that the structure of the manuscript and the subsections are suitable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that both the material and the study methods used are presented succinctly. The results presented, for this study, are relevant and concise, and the data used are easy to understand by the reader. The conclusion reveals, based on the data presented in the results and discussions, that the DBSKKV H10 genotype is a promising one that could be used in the Konkan region.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are enough for this manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manuela Carmen Simu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania</b>