

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_124166
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Dengue Fever in triggering Kawasaki Disease
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	First of all, this manuscript is good and well-written. However, I may require some comments on the following issues. Basically, this manuscript is acceptable. However, in order to improve the readability of the paper by an English editing service as various sentences are mistyped. Title: The title is easy to follow and no mistakes. Abstract: This section was well-written and easy to understand. In addition, the study's objective and the state of the art of the study is clear. Furthermore, the keywords should represent the study. Discussion: The discussion of the study is lack of information about the important topics. To develop a systematic discussion, the authors should mention the limitation(s) of the study. Conclusion: In this part of the paper, the authors have established the conclusion of this study. References: Many references are out of guidelines. Please kindly check the references again, do not include the old references, and check the abbreviation of the journals/proceedings. Please mention many high-quality related publications in 2023-2024.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arif Nur Muhammad Ansori
Department, University & Country	Airlangga University, Indonesia