

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_124796
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical Assessment of Water Quality in the Thamirabarani River Basin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is a monitoring one. As such some inaccuracies should have been avoided regarding analytical results and their discussion. Please refer to the table 1, 2 and the results discussion paragraph.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is missing.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is Ok . Conclusions should be more scientifically based. The table 3 of Pearson Correlation matrix is not discussed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are many technical errors and mistakes in the manuscript regarding the analytical parameters analysed and the results shown in the table 2. Some other errors are given regarding pH values in the Results paragraph in the first page (FOR EXAMPLE 4.3-8.5; 4.8-8.20) and in the table 2 and discussion paragraph. The station 4 is not shown in the map. It is not well explained what this station represents as it is considered control station. Dissolved oxygen values are not given, even though the author mentions it as a monitoring parameter. The monitoring period somewhere is September 2023, elsewhere is 2022..., etc	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are sufficient but not correctly used in the material. It cannot be said for example: This value has been reported by [4]	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision recommended	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be revised to correct technical errors and correct technical inaccuracies throughout the text. Some graphic presentation of the data instead of the comparison the values to each other in the discussion paragraph would be more comprehensive.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilirjana Boci
Department, University & Country	University of Tirana, Albania