

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_124546
Title of the Manuscript:	A Retrospective Analysis of Door-to-Balloon Time and Its Determinants in STEMI Patients
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study does emphasis on the prevalence of Coronary artery disease with respect to Indian Diaspora, The manuscript does not add to the existing knowledge, it does not provide new information. The language of the manuscript can be edited to make it more interesting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript deals with retrospective study limited to one center. The main aim of this study is an already established scientific fact which is in practice worldwide. The fact is also endorsed by American Heart Association. The manuscript doesn't clarify the reason for delay in the door to balloon time.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language can be better, needs revision.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Munir Ahmad Khan
Department, University & Country	Super Speciality Hospital, MGM Medical College, India