

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJCR_124240   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Floating right heart thrombus: a spectacular evolution |
| Type of the Article      | Case report  |

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>                        | It is interesting that the case was diagnosed during a routine check-up, and the regression of the lesion with outpatient treatment is interesting.    |  |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>  | The title of the article is appropriate  |  |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>   | The summary of the article is appropriate.   |  |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  | Is suitable  |  |
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | Although right heart thrombi are rare, there are no symptoms in the case. The fact that it appeared during routine control makes the case interesting. |  |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>   | It is recommended that references be more up-to-date.<br>It is recommended to choose more up-to-date sources instead of sources numbered 2-3-5-10-11.  |  |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments   |  |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The English language level of the article is sufficient.   |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   |  |  |

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Abdullah Ozan Polat</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Health Sciences University Gaziosmanpaşa Training and Research Hospital, Turkey</b> |