

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_124363
Title of the Manuscript:	Metabolic Effect of chronic lead administration and restraint stress on female Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Research paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study will help to understand the toxic effects of lead.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is poorly written. The abstract must include significance of the work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript is very poor.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The abstract must include significance of the work. 2) The materials and methods must be elaborated and explained in detail. 3) The results must be explained as average of replicates +/- standard deviation. 4) The conclusion section must be elaborated. 5) The references must be recent. 6) The english language is poor. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The abstract must include significance of the work. 2) The materials and methods must be elaborated and explained in detail. 3) The results must be explained as average of replicates +/- standard deviation. 4) The conclusion section must be elaborated. 5) The references must be recent. 6) The english language is poor. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Gunjal
Department, University & Country	Dr. D. Y. Patil, Arts, Commerce & Science College, India